arXiv:1701.01323v1 [math.CO] 5 Jan 2017

GENERALISED MIXED DISTRIBUTIVE LAWS AND HOPF-BOREL TYPE THEOREM FOR OPERADS

E. BURGUNDER AND B. DELCROIX-OGER

Abstract. In [Lod08], Loday generalises Hopf-Borel theorem to operads. We extend here his result by loosening and reducing hypotheses of this theorem to a class of rewriting rules generalising the classical notion of mixed distributive laws, that we call *generalised mixed distributive laws*. This enables us to show that for any operads $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ having the same underlying $\mathfrak{S}$-module, there exists a generalised mixed distributive law λ such that any connected $\mathcal{P}$ co$\mathcal{Q}$-bialgebra satisfying λ is free and cofree over its primitive elements. Our reasoning permits us to generate many new examples, while recovering the known ones by considering dual relations.

Introduction

Distributive laws first appeared in 1969 in Beck's article [Bec69]. It takes its name from the distributivity of addition over multiplication studied during childhood. Distributive laws give a confluent way to rewrite expressions mixing different products. An example of distributive laws is the one mixing the commutative product and the Lie bracket in a Poisson algebra. This notion has then been studied by Burroni in [Bur73] for algebras and by Markl in [Mar96] generalising this definition to operads and linking it to the topological notion of Koszulness. Fox and Markl have adapted in [FM97] the notion of distributive laws to expressions mixing operations and cooperations: they called the obtained rewriting rules *mixed distributive laws*.

A bialgebra, where the algebra structure is encoded by an operad $\mathcal{A}$, the coalgebra structure by a cooperad $\mathcal{C}^*$ linked through a mixed distributive law λ, satisfies, under some assumptions, a Hopf-Borel type theorem: any connected $\mathcal{C}^c - \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra satisfying λ is free and cofree over its primitive elements. Such a theorem is called a *rigidity theorem*. Particular cases of rigidity theorems were proven for instance in [Bor53] for commutative cocommutative Hopf bialgebras, in [LR06] for associative coassociative bialgebras, in [Bur10] for Zinbiel coassociative bialgebras, in [Foi07] for dendriform codendriform algebras and in [Liv06] for PreLie coNAP bialgebras.

Date: January 6, 2017.

The authors thank J. Millès for our fruitful conversations and M. Livernet for her useful comments about our work. We also thank Y. Guiraud for suggesting the name quasi-set operad. The work of the first author was supported by ANR CATHRE. The work of the second author was supported by LabEx CIMI.

The general framework for this theorem was introduced by Loday in [Lod08]. Rigidity theorems were then further studied, for instance in [LMW15] and applications can be found for example in [BCR15] to compute explicit bases of algebras. While studying the general framework and its rewriting for particular symmetric operads, it became clear to the authors that the three hypotheses of this theorem had to be clarified and some further clarifications were needed in the proof.

In this article we prove that one only of the hypotheses formulated by Loday in [Lod08] is needed, the others being implied. This viewpoint enables us to provide an answer to a conjecture of Loday: for a given operad $\mathcal{P}$ which encoded the structure of algebra and of coalgebra of a $\mathcal{P}^c$−$\mathcal{P}$ bialgebras there exists mixed distributive laws between the structures such that a rigidity theorem holds. We also explore the equivalence between these hypotheses when the operads encoding the bialgebra structure admit compatible bases. In such a framework, we are able to make explicit an inductive algorithm to compute the idempotent and the mixed distributive laws. This framework also includes the particular case where the coproducts are given as the dual of the products, in which case, we can recover most of the known cases. Moreover it provides a suitable environment for the development of rewriting and Groebner bases theories for $\mathcal{P}$-algebras. Indeed the mixed distributive laws allow to decide whether a polynomial is a divisor of another one.

This article is organised as follows. The first part focuses on the general theory and then particularises to the framework where bases for operads are known. The second part develops the dual case and is illustrated through some examples.

More precisely, in the first section, we recall Loday's theorem with its three hypotheses (H0), (H1), (H2iso): for any $\mathcal{C}^c - \mathcal{A}$ bialgebra satisfying mixed distributive laws (H0), one can compute a morphism $\varphi_V : \mathcal{A}(V) \to \mathcal{C}^c(V)$, functorial in V (H1). If this morphism is bijective (H2iso) then a rigidity theorem holds. But the core property is that the morphism φ induces a family of $\mathfrak{S}$-modules isomorphisms $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$. This property alone gives the generalised mixed distributive laws which we can compute explicitly when orthogonal bases are known (see Subsection 1.4).

Then in the second part, the dual case is explored. The above isomorphisms can be obtained by duality with respect to a fixed basis. We explain this construction and give a simple condition for it to satisfy the hypotheses of rigidity theorem: its compatibility to the $\mathfrak{S}$-module structure. We then list the examples in literature which can be obtained in that way before illustrating the strength of this case with a bunch of brand new examples: PreLie coPreLie, Perm coPerm, NAP coNAP, PAN coPAN, PAN coPerm, Leibniz coAssociative, Poisson coAssociative, Leibniz coZinbiel, 2as co2as or even Dipt coDipt bialgebras.

1 General Case

We give in this section an enlargement of the hypotheses for Loday's rigidity theorem on generalised bialgebras. We first recall Loday's formulation of rigidity theorem before studying the intertwining between hypotheses of the theorem. We then study the case when bases are orthogonal, so that we can compute explicitly mixed distributive laws and idempotent, which was one problem raised in [Lod08]. We finally give a new formulation of rigidity theorem.

1.1 Loday's rigidity theorem. We recall from [Joy86] that a *species* is a functor from the category of finite sets and bijections to the category of vector spaces. It is possible to define a composition $\circ$ on species (see [BLL98]) and an *operad* is then given by the data of a species $\mathcal{P}$ and a map $\pi : \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$. The reader can consult [LV12] for further explanations.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic 0. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ be two algebraic operads. In this article, we will only consider connected operads, i.e. such that $\mathcal{A}(0) = \mathcal{C}(0) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{C}(1) = \mathbb{K}.\,\mathrm{id}$ and such that $\mathcal{A}(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(n)$ are finite.

Following [FM97] and [Lod08], we define the notion of mixed distributive laws:

Definition 1.1.1. A *compatibility relation* $\lambda : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is defined as a sequence $\{\lambda(m,n)\}$ of maps

$$\lambda(m,n) : \mathcal{C}(m) \otimes \mathcal{A}(n) \to$$
$$\oplus \mathcal{A}(t_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}(t_m) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_{t_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{t_m}} \mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_N] \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_{s_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{s_n}} \mathcal{C}(s_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(s_n),$$

where the summation is taken over all the $N \geq 1$ and $s_1 + \cdot + s_n = t_1 + \cdots + t_m = N$. A compatibility relation is said to be *distributive* (also called *mixed distributive law*) if it is compatible with the operad structures of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$.

Let us first recall the definition of a cooperad and a coalgebra over an operad.

Definition 1.1.2 ([LV12])**.** A *cooperad* $\mathcal{C}^*$ is the data of a family of $\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules $\mathcal{C}^*(n)$ for every integer n and of two morphisms of $\mathfrak{S}$-modules $\Delta : \mathcal{C}^* \to \mathcal{C}^* \otimes \mathcal{C}^*$ and $\epsilon : \mathcal{C}^* \to I$ (counit) satisfying some coassociativity and counitality axioms. When $\mathcal{C}$ is an operad, $\mathcal{C}^*(n) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathbb{K})$ is a cooperad, called the *dual cooperad* of $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 1.1.3 ([LV12])**.** A *coalgebra* over an operad $\mathcal{C}$ is a vector space C equipped with a $\mathfrak{S}_n$-equivariant morphism $\gamma_C^n : \mathcal{C}(n) \otimes C \to C^{\otimes n}$. This definition is equivalent to the definition of a coalgebra over the cooperad $\mathcal{C}^*$, which is the data of a map $\Delta_C^n : C \to \mathcal{C}^*(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} C^{\otimes n}$. We denote the free coalgebra over an operad C whose vector space of primitives is V by $\mathcal{C}^c(V)$.

We call $\mathcal{C}^c{-}_\lambda\mathcal{A}$-bialgebra any bialgebra which is a $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra, $\mathcal{C}^c$-coalgebra and such that products and coproducts satisfy the mixed distributive laws λ.

The rigidity theorem as stated by Loday is:

Theorem 1.1.4 ([Lod08]). *Let $\mathcal{C}^c -_\lambda \mathcal{A}$ be a bialgebra type which satisfies*
(H0): *the compatibility relations λ are distributive,*
(H1): *the free $\mathcal{A}$-algebra is naturally a $\mathcal{C}^c -_\lambda \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra,*
(H2iso): *the $\mathcal{C}^c$-coalgebra map $\varphi(V) : \mathcal{A}(V) \to \mathcal{C}^c(V)$ is an isomorphism.*
Then any connected $\mathcal{C}^c -_\lambda \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is free and cofree over its primitive elements

$$\mathcal{A}(Prim\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{C}^c(Prim\mathcal{H}).$$

The following cofiltration $\mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{H}$ can be defined on any $\mathcal{C}^c$-coalgebra $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{H} = \{x \in \mathcal{H} | \forall p > n, \forall \delta \in \mathcal{C}(p), \delta(x) = 0\}.$$

When there will be no ambiguity, we will only write $\mathcal{F}_n$.

In what follows, we will use the following generalisation of mixed distributive laws:

Definition 1.1.5. A *(Generalised) mixed distributive law* between operads $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a family of mixed distributive laws

$$\begin{aligned}(1)\quad \lambda_{m,n} : \mathcal{C}(m) \otimes \mathcal{A}(n) \otimes (\mathcal{F}_1)^n \to \\ \oplus\, \mathcal{A}(t_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}(t_m) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_{t_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{t_m}} \mathbb{K}[\mathfrak{S}_n] \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} (\mathcal{F}_1)^n,\end{aligned}$$

where the summation is taken over all $t_1 + \cdot + t_m = n$, such that $\lambda_{m,n}$ is compatible with the structure of operad of $\mathcal{C}$ and functorial in primitive elements.

It is clear that mixed distributive laws as defined in Definition 1.1.1 is a generalised mixed distributive law.

Example 1.1.6. An example of such mixed distributive laws is presented in Equation (10).

Remark 1.1.7. Mixed distributive laws can be either represented in a finite sum with coefficients varying with the cofiltration (second definition introduced) or as an possibly infinite mixed distributive law with constant coefficients (first definition introduced). The advantage of considering generalised mixed distributive laws with non constant coefficients is to ease their computations on explicit examples. They are called "generalised" mixed distributive laws because this notion generalises the notion of mixed distributive laws and gives the first example of infinite mixed distributive laws.

The $\mathcal{C}^c -_{\lambda_g} \mathcal{A}$-bialgebras are then defined as bialgebras which is a $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra, $\mathcal{C}^c$-coalgebra, such that products and coproducts satisfy the generalised mixed distributive laws defined in 1.1.5 and generated by its primitive elements (which is also satisfied by mixed distributive laws, see Lemma 1.3.5).

1.2 Conditions on φ. In [Lod08], a condition on φ for the existence of a rigidity theorem is that the morphism φ_V is an isomorphism for every vector space V. We first give an example of the necessity of the injectivity of φ before studying the link between filtration and cofiltration in the associated bialgebras. This link is capital because, as we will see later, the idempotent is nothing but the projection on primitive elements parallel to decomposable elements.

The following example will show that the lack of injectivity of φ induces a counter-example in the rigidity theorem.

Example 1.2.1. Consider the coassociative associative bialgebras $(\mathcal{A}s^c, \mathcal{A}s, \lambda)$ where the mixed distributive law λ is the Hopf mixed distributive law. We compute $\varphi : \mathcal{A}s(V) \to \mathcal{A}s(V)^c$ from the mixed distributive law: it is given by $\varphi(1\dots n \otimes (v_1, \dots v_n)) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma(1)\dots\sigma(n) \otimes (v_1, \dots v_n)$. It is to be noted that the φ constructed is not a monomorphism (therefore not an isomorphism and nor a epimorphism), failing to verify all the hypotheses for the existence of a rigidity theorem.

Let us consider Solomon-Tits algebra, see for example [NT06, HNT08, BR10], the space of surjection endowed with the shuffle product and the block coproduct:

For $x \in \mathbf{ST}^r_n$, we write $x = (x(1), \dots, x(n))$ and $r = \max\{x(i), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Let $x \in \mathbf{ST}^r_n$, $y \in \mathbf{ST}^s_m$ $x \star y = \sum f \circ (x(1), \cdots x(n), y(1) + r, \cdots y(m) + r)$, where the sum is over all the stuffles $f \in SH(r, s)$. Given a map $x : [n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique surjective map $\mathrm{std}(x)$ in $\mathbf{ST}$ such that $x(i) < x(j)$ if, and only if, $\mathrm{std}(x)(i) < \mathrm{std}(x)(j)$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The map $\mathrm{std}(x)$ is called the *standardisation* of x (see for instance [NT06]). Let $K = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_l\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$, we define the co-restriction of x to K by $x|^K := \mathrm{std}(x(s_1), \dots, x(s_q))$, for $x^{-1}(K) = \{s_1 < \cdots < s_q\}$. We define $\Delta_{\mathrm{block}}(x)$ by:

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{block}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x|^{\{1,\dots,i\}} \otimes x|^{\{i+1,\dots,r\}},$$

and we extend it by linearity to all $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{ST}]$ (see for instance [BR10]).

The mixed distributive law between the product and the coproduct is the Hopf mixed distributive law, which can be checked by direct inspection.

$\mathbf{ST}$ is conilpotent as for any element in $x \in \mathbf{ST}^r_n$ $\Delta^{r+1}_{\mathrm{block}}(x) = 0$, where $\Delta^2_{\mathrm{block}} = \Delta_{\mathrm{block}}$ and $\Delta^k_{\mathrm{block}} = (\Delta_{\mathrm{block}} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes k-1}) \circ \Delta^{k-1}_{\mathrm{block}}$.

By a direct computation, one can prove that the element 112 cannot be constructed as a linear combination of products of primitive elements. And $\mathbf{ST}$ is therefore not generated by its primitives though it does verify the conilpotent and the existence of the mixed distributive law hypotheses.

Link between filtration and cofiltration

We first decribe the free bialgebra, we will then consider free algebras and coalgebras in this subsection.

Proposition 1.2.2. *The data of a family of isomorphisms $\varphi_V : \mathcal{A}(V) \to \mathcal{C}^c(V)$ functorial in V and sending natural graduation by product to natural cograduation by coproduct, is equivalent to the data of a family of isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{S}$-modules $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$.*

Proof. As $\mathbb{K}$ is a field of characteristic 0, we can identify coinvariants and invariants and the notion of free coalgebra over the operad $\mathcal{C}$ is given by the Schur functor defined by $\mathcal{C}^c(V) = \bigoplus \mathcal{C}^*(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}$.

The data of a family of isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{S}$-modules $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$ defines a natural transformation between the Schur functor associated to Operad $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} : V \mapsto \bigotimes_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{A}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ and the Schur functor associated to Cooperad $\mathcal{C}^*$, $\mathcal{C}^c$ described above. This natural transformation is directly equivalent to a family of isomorphisms $\varphi_V : \mathcal{A}(V) \to \mathcal{C}^c(V)$ functorial in V sending graduation $\mathcal{A}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ to cograduation $\mathcal{C}^*(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}$.

Let us now suppose the existence of a family of isomorphisms $\varphi_V : \mathcal{A}(V) \to \mathcal{C}^c(V)$ functorial in V, sending graduation by product to cograduation by coproduct. Then, $\varphi_V(\mathcal{A}(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}) = \mathcal{C}^*(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}$, for any integer n. Hence φ_V defines a family of isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{S}$-modules $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$. □

We will denote by $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ the morphism of $\mathfrak{S}$-module induced by the family of φ_n.

Remark 1.2.3.
- If there exists such a family φ_n, the two $\mathfrak{S}$-modules P and Q induced by the operads are equals, or in other words, the underlying species are the same.
- For Comm, "admissible" φ are homotheties, but it is not always the case (it depends on the chosen decomposition in irreducible representations of the $\mathfrak{S}$-module, which is not unique).

We call *homogeneous mixed distributive law* the set of all rewritings of compositions of a cooperation of arity n, $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(n)$, with an operation of arity n, $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(n)$, applied to primitive elements in terms of the primitive elements p_i. We denote this set by (λ_p). Then we have:

$$(\lambda_p) = \{\delta \circ \mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^\sigma_{\delta,\mu} p_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes p_{\sigma(n)} \quad |\delta \in \mathcal{C}(n), \mu \in \mathcal{A}(n), \alpha^\sigma_{\delta,\mu} \in \mathbb{K}, n \geq 1\}. \tag{2}$$

The data of homogeneous mixed distributive law is then strictly equivalent to endowing the free $\mathcal{A}$-algebra over a vector space V with a structure of $\mathcal{C}$-coalgebra sending the graduation of the algebra to the cograduation of the coalgebra. Indeed, the following result follows:

Lemma 1.2.4. *The data of φ is equivalent to the data of a homogeneous mixed distributive law.*

Proof. $\Leftarrow$ Given an homogeneous mixed distributive law, we can consider the map from $\mathcal{A}(V)$ to itself where at the source $\mathcal{A}(V)$ is viewed

as an algebra and at the target $\mathcal{A}(V)$ is seen as a coalgebra. It produces a family of morphism ψ_V, sending filtration by product to cofiltration by coproduct, and as result a morphism ψ_n defined by

$$\psi_n(\mu)(\delta) = \alpha^{id}_{\delta,\mu}.$$

$\Rightarrow$ Denoting by $\langle , \rangle$ the duality pairing between $\mathcal{C}(n)$ and its dual space $\mathcal{C}^*(n)$, and x^σ the action of an element σ of the symmetric group on an element x of $\mathcal{C}(n) = \mathcal{A}(n)$, we define the mixed distributive law (λ_p) from the morphisms φ by:

$$\delta \circ \mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \langle \delta^\sigma, \varphi_n(\mu) \rangle \, p_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes p_{\sigma(n)}, \tag{3}$$

where $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(n)$, $\mu \in A(n)$ and $p_i \in V$.

Notice that we have:

$$\langle \delta^\sigma, \varphi_n(\mu) \rangle = \left\langle \delta, \varphi_n(\mu^{\sigma^{-1}}) \right\rangle.$$

The two constructions are inverse of each other.

□

Remark 1.2.5. This formula should contain a sum over permutation of primitive elements to take into account the action of the symmetric group, and automorphism groups of operations, which does not appear in [Lod08]. For instance, in PreLie(3) detailed in 2.3, we have, denoting the generating product and coproduct respectively by Δ and μ:

$$(\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta \circ \mu \circ (\mu \otimes id - id \otimes \mu)\,(p_1, p_2, p_3) = p_1 \otimes p_2 \otimes p_3 + p_1 \otimes p_3 \otimes p_2,$$

for any triples of primitive elements p_i. The second term of the sum will always appear if the first one appear because of the equality:

$$\mu \circ (\mu \otimes id - id \otimes \mu)\,(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \mu \circ (\mu \otimes id - id \otimes \mu)\,(p_1, p_3, p_2).$$

1.3 Equivalence between conditions. The reasoning in Loday's theorem is to first consider a mixed distributive law thanks to which we can compute a map sending $\mathcal{A}(V)$ to $\mathcal{C}(V)$. To use this theorem, we then have to prove that the induced morphism is an isomorphism. We adopt here another reasoning: we start from the data of such an isomorphism and prove that we get back the hypotheses of the theorem, more precisely, we prove the existence of associated generalised mixed distributive laws. We first describe this law on free bialgebras before defining general bialgebras.

Remark 1.3.1. The Φ_1 part of mixed distributive laws always occurs, otherwise the coproduct would vanish as we considered only non unitary coproducts.

We will show in what follows the intertwining between conditions of this theorem.

Proposition 1.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be two algebraic operads. The existence of a generalised mixed distributive law λ implies the existence of a family of $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module morphism $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$, for any positive integer n. Moreover, the bijectivity of all φ_n enables us to define the associated (generalised) mixed distributive law on primitive elements of free and cofree bialgebras.*

Remark 1.3.3. If such bijections exist, then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ have the same underlying $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module.

Proof.

$(\lambda) \Rightarrow \varphi$: (λ_p) are special types of mixed distributive laws and can be directly computed from a mixed distributive law (λ).

$\varphi \Rightarrow (\lambda)$: We define from φ the associated mixed distributive law (λ) on primitive elements.

We denote respectively by m and d the isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}(V) \simeq \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{A}(n)\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathcal{C}^c(V) \simeq \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{C}^*(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}$. We moreover denote $\varphi(M_n)$ by H_n.

The compatibility relations are defined as the following composite, using notations of Definition 1.1.3:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}(k)\otimes\mathcal{A}(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n} &\xrightarrow{id\otimes\varphi\otimes id^{\otimes n}} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}^*(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{id\otimes d^{-1}} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes H_n \\ &\xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{C}}^k} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\ldots+l_k=n,\\ l_i\geq 1}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} H_{l_i} \xrightarrow{(\varphi^{-1})^{\otimes k}} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\ldots+l_k=n,\\ l_i\geq 1}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} M_{l_i} \\ &\xrightarrow{(m^{-1})^{\otimes k}} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\ldots+l_k=n,\\ l_i\geq 1}} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{A}(l_i)\right) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}.\end{aligned}$$

As the operations are functorial in V and using Equation (3), we obtain that for any cooperation δ of arity k and any operation μ of arity n, there exists operations of arity r_i, μ_i^σ, such that on any primitive elements $p_1, \ldots, p_n$:

$$\delta\circ\mu(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \sum_{\substack{r_1+\ldots+r_k=n\\ \sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{\text{shuffle}}}} \mu_1^\sigma(p_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(r_1)})\otimes\ldots\otimes\mu_k^\sigma(p_{\sigma(n-r_k+1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(n)}),$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{shuffle}}$ is the set of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\sigma(\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i + 1) < \ldots < \sigma(\sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i + r_{l+1})$ for any $0 \leq l \leq k-1$.

We moreover check that these compatibility relations are compatible with compositions of cooperations: the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{C}(k_1)\otimes\ldots\otimes\mathcal{C}(k_l))\otimes\mathcal{C}(l)\otimes\mathcal{A}(n) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}\otimes id} & \mathcal{C}(k)\otimes\mathcal{A}(n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (id)^{\otimes l}\otimes\lambda} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda} \\ \sum_{\sum q_i=n}((\mathcal{C}(k_1)\otimes\mathcal{A}(q_1))\otimes\ldots\otimes(\mathcal{C}(k_l)\otimes\mathcal{A}(q_l))) & \xrightarrow[(\lambda)^{\otimes l}]{} & \sum_{\sum r_i=n}\mathcal{A}(r_1)\otimes\mathcal{A}(r_k), \end{array}$$

where $k = k_1 + \ldots + k_l$.

Hence the compatibility relations satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 1.1.5. □

Remark 1.3.4.
- If the (generalised) mixed distributive laws λ are given by an isomorphism φ, we will write equivalently the associated bialgebras $\mathcal{C}^c -_{\varphi} \mathcal{A}$-bialgebras or $\mathcal{C}^c -_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}$-bialgebras.
- There can be different mixed distributive laws associated to the same couple of operads: see for instance the mixed distributive laws for the Dendriform coDendriform bialgebra computed by Foissy in [Foi07] and the one referred below.

Projection

Let us now consider a (not necessarily free or cofree) connected $\mathcal{C}^c -_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_n$ the cofiltration on $\mathcal{H}$ and φ the $\mathfrak{S}$-module morphism associated to the mixed distributive laws.

We first show the following lemmas:

Lemma 1.3.5. *The bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is generated, as an algebra, by its primitive elements.*

Proof. Let us consider the minimal n such that there exists an x in $\mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{H}$, x not in the subalgebra generated by primitive elements of $\mathcal{H}$. We will construct a y in the subalgebra generated by primitive elements of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $x - y$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\mathcal{H}$.

For any basis $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k)$ of $\mathcal{A}(n)$, there exists a basis $(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k)$ of $\mathcal{C}(n)$ such that $\varphi(\mu_i) = \delta_i^*$. We write $a_{i,j}^{\sigma} = \delta_i^*(\delta_j^{\sigma})$, denoting by δ_j^{σ} the action of an element σ of the symmetric group on an element δ_j of the considered $\mathfrak{S}$-module. Hence, we have for any primitive elements $p_1, \ldots, p_n$, according to Equation (3):

$$\delta_j \circ \mu_i(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} a_{i,j}^{\sigma} p_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes p_{\sigma(n)}. \tag{4}$$

Without loss of generality, we can consider the case:

$$\delta_j(x) = \sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_n} d_{\tau}^{j} p_{\tau(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes p_{\tau(n)} \tag{5}$$

for a given tuple of primitive elements $(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$, as any coproduct can be decomposed as a sum of such elements on disjoint tuples.

Let us now consider an element $y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha_\tau^i \mu_i(p_{\tau(1)}, \dots, p_{\tau(n)})$. Then, we have, using Equation (4):

$$\delta_j(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\tau,\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha_\tau^i a_{i,j}^\sigma p_{\tau\circ\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes p_{\tau\circ\sigma(n)}. \tag{6}$$

Then, using Equations (5) and (6), for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\delta_j(x) = \delta_j(y)$ is equivalent to:

$$d_\tau^j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha_{\tau\circ\sigma^{-1}}^i a_{i,j}^\sigma. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, for any j, $\delta_j^\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i,j}^\sigma \delta_i$, which gives on x, for any $\tau, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$:

$$d_\tau^j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i,j}^\sigma d_{\tau\circ\sigma^{-1}}^i \tag{8}$$

Thanks to Equations (7) and (8), choosing $\alpha_\sigma^i = \frac{1}{n!} d_\sigma^i$ for any i and σ gives y in the $\mathcal{S}$ subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ generated by primitive elements such that $x - y$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\mathcal{H}$, hence $x - y$ is $\mathcal{S}$ by minimality of n and so is x. □

Lemma 1.3.6. *The vector space $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ admits a supplementary space in $\mathcal{F}_n$, which is $M_n = \{\mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) | \mu \in \mathcal{A}(n), p_i \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$.*

Proof.

- First, we have by definition $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$.
- Let us now show that $M_n \cap \mathcal{F}_{n-1} = \{0\}$, for all n. Let us consider an element $\mu(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ in $M_n - \{0\}$. As φ is injective, there is an element $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ such that $\delta \circ \mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) \neq 0$, thus $\mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) \notin \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$.
- Then, we have $M_n \subset \mathcal{F}_n$, for all n. Thus, $\mathcal{F}_n \supset \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \oplus M_n$. This comes from Equation (3).
- Let us prove that $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \oplus M_n$. As an algebra, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A}(V)/(R)$. By Lemma 1.3.5, we have that $V \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1$. Let us consider an element $x \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Then we can write (not necessarily uniquely) x as:

$$x = \sum_{j \geq 1} \mu_j(p_1^j, \dots, p_j^j),$$

where $p_k^j \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mu_j \in \mathcal{A}(j)$.

From Equation (3), we have $M_j \subset \mathcal{F}_j$. From the bijectivity of φ, $M_j \cap \mathcal{F}_{j-1} = \{0\}$ (see the second point of this proof). Then, as $x \in \mathcal{F}_n$, we get the decomposition:

$$x = y + z,$$

where $y \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ and $z \in M_n$.

□

For any element $x \in \mathcal{F}_n$, we can then define the projection e on $\mathcal{F}_1 = M_1$ parallel to the M_j, $j > 1$.

The map e is linear and satisfies $e \circ e = e$ and $\mathrm{Im}(e) = \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathrm{Prim}\,(\mathcal{H})$. We will call this map the *idempotent* associated to $\mathcal{C}^c -_\varphi \mathcal{A}$-bialgebras (see Corollary 1.5.2).

1.4 Orthogonal bases. In this subsection, we provide an explicit inductive description of mixed distributive laws and idempotent in favourable cases.

For every $n \geq 1$, we consider, if they exist, two generating sets $B\mathcal{A}_n = (a_1^n, \ldots, a_{i_n}^n)$ and $B\mathcal{C}_n = (c_1^n, \ldots, c_{i_n}^n)$ of the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module $\mathcal{A}(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(n)$ respectively, such that $< (c_i^n)^\sigma, \varphi(a_j^n) >= 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $i \neq j$. Let us remark that to obtain such generating sets, we should take at most one element in every orbit of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Such a generating set can be found for instance if the only relations in the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module $\mathcal{A}(n)$ are of the form $x = x^\sigma$ for x in $\mathcal{A}(n)$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Then, considering such a basis, Equation (3) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} c_j^n \circ a_i^n(p_1, \ldots, p_n) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left\langle (c_j^n)^\sigma, \varphi_n(a_i^n) \right\rangle p_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes p_{\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left\langle (c_j^n)^\sigma, (c_i^n)^* \right\rangle p_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes p_{\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in Aut(c_j^n)} \delta_{i,j} p_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes p_{\sigma(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that, defining for x an (co)operation of arity n $\mathrm{Aut}(x) = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n, x^\sigma = x\}$, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(c_j^n) \supseteq \mathrm{Aut}(a_j^n)$ by definition of φ, with the equality when φ is injective, which will be supposed in what follows.

The mixed distributive law is then given for any cooperation $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ and operation $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ by induction on the cofiltration $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = k$ by:

$$\lambda_{(\delta,\mu,k)} := \sum_{\substack{(a_{j_1}^{l_1}, \ldots, a_{j_n}^{l_n}) \\ \in B\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_1} \times \ldots \times B\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_i |\,\mathrm{Aut}(a_i^{l_i})|} \left(T(\otimes_i a_{j_i}^{l_i}) - T_{k-1}(\otimes_i a_{j_i}^{l_i}) \right) \circ \left(c_{j_1}^{l_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{j_n}^{l_n} \right),$$

where $T(\otimes_i a_{j_i}^{l_i})$ is the tensorial product of operations obtained when evaluating $\delta \circ \mu \circ \left(a_{j_1}^{l_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{j_n}^{l_n} \right)$ on primitive elements (see 1.3.2) and $T_k(\otimes_i a_{j_i}^{l_i})$ is the tensorial product of operations obtained when evaluating $\lambda_{(\delta,\mu,k)} \circ \left(a_{j_1}^{l_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{j_n}^{l_n} \right)$ on primitive elements.

The maps $\lambda_{(\delta,\mu,k)}$ give the mixed distributive law on elements in $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$, where $\sum_i \lambda_i = k$.

The idempotent is given as the inductive limit of the maps $e_n : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ defined on the cofiltration $\mathcal{F}_n$ by $e_1 = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathcal{F}_1$ and on $\mathcal{F}_n$ by:

$$e_n = e_{n-1} - \sum_i \frac{1}{|Aut(a_i^n)|} e_{n-1} \circ a_i^n \circ c_i^n.$$

Note that by decomposition of Lemma 1.3.6, $e_j = e_n$ on $\mathcal{F}_n$ for all $j \geq n$, hence e is the identity on $\mathcal{F}_1$. We prove in what follows that the map obtained is an idempotent:

Lemma 1.4.1. *The constructed map satisfies: $\Delta \circ e = 0$ on any conilpotent $\mathcal{C}^c -_\varphi \mathcal{A}$ bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof of Lemma 1.4.1. We prove by induction on n that:

$$\Delta \circ e_n(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

As $e_1 = \mathrm{id}$ on primitive elements, we have $\Delta \circ e(x) = \Delta(x) = 0$ for any primitive element x. Let us suppose the property true for any $k \leq n$. If $x \in \mathcal{F}_k$ for $k \leq n$, $\Delta \circ e_{n+1}(x) = \Delta \circ e_n(x) = 0$ by induction hypothesis.

If $x \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\Delta \circ e_{n+1}(x) = \Delta \circ e_n \left(x - \sum_i \frac{1}{|Aut(a_i^{n+1})|} a_i^{n+1} \circ c_i^{n+1}(x) \right).$$

However, for any j, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_j^{n+1} \left(x - \sum_i \frac{1}{|Aut(a_i^{n+1})|} a_i^{n+1} \circ c_i^{n+1}(x) \right) =& c_j^{n+1}(x) - \frac{1}{|Aut(a_i^{n+1})|} c_j^{n+1} \\ & \circ a_j^{n+1} \circ c_j^{n+1}(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x - \sum_i \frac{1}{|Aut(a_i^{n+1})|} a_i^{n+1} \circ c_i^{n+1}(x)$ is in $\mathcal{F}_k$ for $k \leq n$ and $\Delta \circ e_{n+1}(x) = 0$. □

1.5 Rigidity theorem. We now give a new formulation of rigidity theorem which takes into account Subsection 1.3.

Theorem 1.5.1. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic 0 and let us consider two connected algebraic operads $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, such that $\mathcal{A}(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(n)$ are finite dimensional vector spaces. To any family of bijective $\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules morphisms $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$ can be associated a (generalised) mixed distributive law (λ) such that any connected $\mathcal{C}^c -_\lambda \mathcal{A}$-bialgebras is free and cofree over the vector space of its primitive elements*

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{C}^c(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}).$$

Moreover, if any free and cofree $\mathcal{C}^c -_\lambda \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra is also a $\mathcal{C}^c -_{\tilde{\lambda}} \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra, with $\tilde{\lambda}$ a generalised or a usual mixed distributive laws, then any connected $\mathcal{C}^c -_{\tilde{\lambda}} \mathcal{A}$-bialgebras is free and cofree over it primitive elements. In other words, the result does not depend on the choice of a generalised mixed distributive law.

Then, on any $\mathcal{C}^c -_\varphi \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra the idempotent is exactly the projection on $\mathcal{F}_1$ parallel to the M_n (see 1.3.6), hence the following result:

Corollary 1.5.2. *The idempotent e is unique.*

The idempotent described above is the same as the one introduced in [Lod08]. It is the generalisation of the Eulerian idempotent used in [Pat93], whose origin lays in [Che57], obtained in the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Comm}$.

We follow here the sketch of the proof of Loday in [Lod08] and Patras in [Pat93], using the mixed distributive laws and the idempotent introduced previously.

Proof. We want now to prove that there is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H})$, where the latter is the free and cofree bialgebra over $\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}$. We call i and p respectively the canonical injection from $\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H})$ and surjection from $\mathcal{C}^c(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}$. Let us define $\tilde{e} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{C}^c(\mathrm{Prim}\ \mathcal{H})$ the unique lifting of e by the universal property of the $\mathcal{C}$-conilpotent cofreeness. Consider $\iota : \mathrm{Prim}\ \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ the natural injection and $\tilde{\iota} : \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Prim}\ \mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{H}$ its lifting by the universal property of $\mathcal{P}$ freeness. We represent below these morphisms:



First, $\tilde{\iota}$ is a bialgebra morphism: it is an algebra morphism by the universal property and we show using the filtration of $\mathcal{H}$ provided by the conilpotency of $\mathcal{H}$ that it is also a coalgebra morphism. Indeed, by construction, $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}) = \mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}$ is sent bijectively to $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H}$. Let us now consider $x \in \mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H})$. By freeness, there exists $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ in $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{Prim}\,\mathcal{H})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ such that $x = \mu(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$. Hence, we have for any cooperation $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(k)$:

$$(\tilde{\iota} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tilde{\iota}) \circ \delta(x) = (\tilde{\iota} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tilde{\iota}) \circ \delta \circ \mu(p_1, \ldots, p_n).$$

The compatibility relation is distributive and can then be denoted by:

$$\delta \circ \mu(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mu_1^i \left(p_{\sigma_i(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma_i(r_1^i)}\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_k^i \left(p_{\sigma_i(n-r_k^i+1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma_i(n)}\right),$$

for any primitive elements $p_1, \dots, p_n$, where μ_j^i has arity r_j^i, $\sum_{j=1}^k r_j^i = n$ and σ_i is in $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{shuffle}}$, the set of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\sigma_i(\sum_{j=1}^l r_j^i + 1) < \ldots < \sigma_i(\sum_{j=1}^l r_j^i + r_{l+1}^i)$ for any $0 \leq l \leq k-1$.

Note that the reasoning does not depend on the choice of such a generalised mixed distributive law.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\iota} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tilde{\iota}) \circ \delta(x) &= (\tilde{\iota} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tilde{\iota}) \circ \delta \circ \mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \tilde{\iota} \circ \mu_1^i \left(p_{\sigma_i(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma_i(r_1^i)} \right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \tilde{\iota} \circ \mu_k^i \left(p_{\sigma_i(n-r_k^i+1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma_i(n)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j^i \left(\tilde{\iota}(p_{\sigma_i(r_j^i+1)}), \dots, \tilde{\iota}(p_{\sigma_i(\sum_{j=1}^l r_j^i + r_{l+1}^i)}) \right) \text{ by algebra morphism} \\
&= \delta \circ \mu(\tilde{\iota}(p_1), \dots, \tilde{\iota}(p_n)) \text{with the mixed distributive law} \\
&= \delta \circ \tilde{\iota} \circ \mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) \text{ by algebra morphism} \\
&= \delta \circ \tilde{\iota}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\tilde{\iota}$ is a bialgebra isomorphism.

This implies that $\tilde{e} \circ \tilde{\iota}$ is a coalgebra morphism, which is the identity on primitive elements. Hence, we have by cofreeness the equality $\tilde{e} \circ \tilde{\iota} = \varphi$, which implies that $\tilde{\iota}$ is injective and $\tilde{e}$ surjective. Finally, $\tilde{e}$ is also injective: otherwise, there would be a minimal integer m ($m > 1$) such that there exists $y \in \mathcal{F}_m\mathcal{H}$ such that $\tilde{e}(y) = 0$. By definition of $\mathcal{F}_m\mathcal{H}$, there exists a cooperation $\delta \in \mathcal{C}(m)$ such that $\delta(y) \neq 0$. Using the fact that e is a coalgebra morphism, we obtain $\delta \circ \tilde{e}(y) = (\tilde{e} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tilde{e}) \circ \delta(y) = 0$ and $\delta(y) \neq 0$ which contradicts the minimality of m.

Finally, the map $\tilde{e} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{C}^c(\operatorname{Prim}\mathcal{H})$ is a vector space isomorphism which preserves the graduation and the result follows using the isomorphim φ between $\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Prim}\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{C}^c(\operatorname{Prim}\mathcal{H})$. □

An immediate result of this theorem is the following corollary:

Corollary 1.5.3. *Given two algebraic operads $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ and a mixed distributive law λ, an $\mathcal{A}$-algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is free if and only if it is possible to define a $\mathcal{C}^c$-coproduct on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the mixed distributive law λ.*

Proof. **⇒:** This is a consequence of the existence of a mixed distributive law. If $\mathcal{H}$ is free, then there exists a vector space V such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A}(V)$ and then $\mathcal{H}$ is naturally equipped with a structure of $\mathcal{C}^c - \mathcal{A}$-bialgebras thanks to the mixed distributive law λ.

⇐: This is a consequence of the rigidity theorem.

□

This provides an efficient tool to solve the problem of freeness of algebras encoded by an operad (see [BCR15])and to give generators and divisibility in free algebras.

Moreover, another application of the theorem is the following answer to a conjecture of Loday in [Lod08]:

Theorem 1.5.4. *For any connected algebraic operads $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ sharing the same underlying finite dimensional $\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules $\mathcal{A}(n) = \mathcal{C}(n)$, there exists a family of $\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules isomorphisms $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$, thus there exists a generalised mixed distributive law λ associated to φ such that any connected $\mathcal{C}^c -_\lambda \mathcal{A}$-bialgebra is free and cofree over its primitives.*

Proof. Any character of a representation of the symmetric group is integer-valued, hence for any representation W of the symmetric group, it is possible to construct a $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module isomorphism between W and its dual W^*. Let us choose $W = \mathcal{A}(n)$: we obtain a family of $\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules isomorphisms between $\mathcal{A}(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(n) = \mathcal{A}^*(n)$ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5.1. Applying this theorem gives the result. □

The following corollary is then immediate:

Corollary 1.5.5. *If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are two operads sharing the same underlying $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module, then any free $\mathcal{A}$-algebra is a free $\mathcal{C}$-algebra.*

Remark 1.5.6. Note that it is not the case for non free algebras.

2 Dual case

There is no canonical vector space isomorphism between a vector space and its dual. Moreover, as the morphism φ must also be a $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module morphism, not all isomorphisms between $\mathcal{A}(n) \simeq \mathcal{C}(n)$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(n)$ will give rise to a rigidity theorem. We provide in this section a criterion on a given basis to get such a family of $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module isomorphisms and then apply it to several cases.

2.1 General results. We consider two connected algebraic operads $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. To satisfy a rigidity theorem, these operads must have the same underlying $\mathfrak{S}$-modules. Let us now consider a basis B_n of the vector space $\mathcal{A}(n) = \mathcal{C}(n)$, we consider the morphism $\varphi_n : \mathcal{A}(n) \to \mathcal{C}^*(n)$ given by the duality with respect to B_n. We denote by B the union $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} B_n$.

Let us now consider a free $\mathcal{A}$-algebra $\mathcal{H}$. The basis B induces a basis $B_\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Given an element x of $B_\mathcal{H}$ and an operation $\mu \in \mathcal{C}(n)$, the coproduct

Δ_μ given by duality on x is then defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\mu(x) &= \sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_n\in B_{\mathcal{H}}\\ \mu(x_1,\dots,x_n)\ni\lambda.x\\ \lambda\in\mathbb{K}^*}} \frac{1}{\lambda} x_1\otimes\ldots\otimes x_n\\ &= \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in B_{\mathcal{H}}} \delta_{x^*(\mu(x_1,\dots,x_n))\neq 0}\frac{1}{x^*\big(\mu(x_1,\dots,x_n)\big)} x_1\otimes\ldots\otimes x_n,\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{x^*(\mu(x_1,\dots,x_n))\neq 0}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Note that thanks to Equation (3), both definitions coincide.

In operadic terms, this definition can be rewritten, for any operation $S\in\mathcal{A}(k)$, cooperation $T\in\mathcal{C}(l)$ and elements $(e_1,\dots,e_l)$:

$$C^{T^*(S)}_{(e_1,\dots,e_l)} = \delta_{C_S^{T(e_1,\dots,e_l)}\neq 0}\frac{1}{C_S^{T(e_1,\dots,e_l)}}, \tag{9}$$

where C_x^f denotes the coefficient of x in f.

For the φ_n to be $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module morphisms, the basis B has to satisfy some conditions:

Definition 2.1.1. The basis B is said to be a *compatible basis* if products and dual coproducts expressed in this basis commute with the action of the symmetric group. In other words, for any cooperation $\Delta\in\mathcal{C}(n)$, any operation $\mu\in\mathcal{A}(n)$ and any $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n$, we have:

$$\Delta\circ(\mu^\sigma) = (\Delta)^{\sigma^{-1}}\circ\mu.$$

Thanks to the shape of homogeneous mixed distributive laws (Equation (3)), we obtain directly:

Proposition 2.1.2. *If the considered basis B is a compatible basis, then the family (φ_n) is a family of $\mathfrak{S}_n$-module isomorphisms and the rigidity theorem applies: any connected $\mathcal{C}^c-_\varphi\mathcal{A}$-bialgebra is free and cofree over the vector space of its primitives.*

Remark 2.1.3. An example of bases of operads which are not compatible is given by the Lyndon basis and the comb basis of the operad Lie (see [HV15] for computation on the comb basis).

If we consider non symmetric operads, any basis is compatible and then we obtain the following result which gives an explicit family of $\mathfrak{S}$-modules isomorphisms φ:

Corollary 2.1.4. *For any non symmetric operads $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, any basis $B_\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $B_\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ there exists a generalised mixed distributive law whose associated isomorphisms are given by sending $B_\mathcal{A}$ on the dual basis of $B_\mathcal{C}$ such that the rigidity theorem applies.*

Example 2.1.5. Using the duality on usual bases of known operads, we find back the following cases. We represent a product $\divideontimes$ by and a coproduct $\Delta_{\divideontimes}$ by , omitting to precise the product or the coproduct if there is no ambiguity.

- [Bor53] (Comm, Comm) with the Hopf mixed distributive law:

- [LR06] (As, As) with the n.u.i. mixed distributive law:

- [Bur10] (As,Zinb) with the semi-Hopf mixed distributive law, denoted by $\prec$ the generating operation of Zinbiel:

,
with $* = \prec \circ (id + (1\ 2))$.
- [Bur08] (Mag, Mag) with the magmatic mixed distributive law:

- [Bur08] (Mag∞, Mag∞) with the infinite magmatic mixed distributive law:

- [Liv06] (NAP, PreLie) with the Livernet mixed distributive law:

- [Lod08] (Nil,Nil) with nil mixed distributive law:

- [Lod08] (Dup, Dup) with the following mixed distributive law:




Note that if $(\mathcal{C}^c \, {}_\lambda \, \mathcal{A})$-bialgebras satisfy the rigidity theorem with a given mixed distributive law (λ), so does $\mathcal{A}^c \, {}_{\bar{\lambda}} \, \mathcal{C})$-bialgebras with the mixed distributive law $\bar{\lambda}$ obtained as the dual of λ, or, graphically, as the horizontal mirror image of λ.

Remark 2.1.6. Some cases are not obtained by duality of the same product, for instance in the dendriform case, the isomorphism given by Foissy's mixed distributive law ([Foi07]) in arity two (between Dend(2) and Dend(2)*) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2 : 1 \succ 2 &\mapsto (1 \succ 2)^* \\ 1 \prec 2 &\mapsto (2 \prec 1)^*. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix of φ_2 is diagonalisable but admits -1 as an eigenvalue. Indeed, Foissy uses two different dendriform products defined on dendriform algebras and computes the mixed distributive laws between one and the dual of the other.

In the 2-as case computed by Loday et Ronco in [LR06], the isomorphism given by Hopf and n.u.i. mixed distributive laws in arity two is diagonalisable but admits -1 and 3 as an eigenvalue. It would be interesting to know if there exists two different 2-as products such that when looking at the mixed distributive laws between one and the dual of the other, one recovers Loday and Ronco's mixed distributive laws.

2.2 Quasi-set operads. In this section, we introduce the notion of quasi-set operad. The interest of quasi-set operad is computational: mixed distributive laws are in general simpler between a quasi-set operad and an associated set operad. Moreover, this notion seems to be a key tool to compute Groebner bases associated to a given $\mathcal{A}$-algebra. Note that it is still interesting to study the mixed distributive law between a quasi-set operad and itself, because it encodes the divisibility in the bialgebras and can help solving non freeness cases when the dual of the studied product does not satisfied the wished mixed distributive laws.

We recall that an operad is a *set operad* if the underlying species can be seen as a species on sets (see [Mén15] for more details).

We introduce the following notion:

Definition 2.2.1. Given a field $\mathbb{K}$, a *Quasi-set operad* is an operad $(\mathcal{O}, \pi_{\mathcal{O}})$ such that there exists a set operad $(\mathcal{S}, \pi_{\mathcal{S}})$), where for any set V, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{K}.V) = \mathbb{K}.\mathcal{S}(V)$ as an $\mathfrak{S}$-module, and a (non necessarily linear) map π such that the following diagram commutes:



where $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ are the projection defining the operads. $\mathcal{S}$ is then called an "associated set operad".

Remark 2.2.2. Set operads are quasi-set operads.

Example 2.2.3.
- Lie is not a quasi-set operad. Indeed, studying the space Lie(3), it is impossible to find a basis (v_1, v_2) of this vector space stable under the action of the symmetric group. This means that no set operad can have the same underlying $\mathfrak{S}$-module as Lie.
- We give below a list of quasi-set operad with an associated set operad.

Quasi-set operad	Associated set operad
PreLie	NAP
Dendriform	Duplicial
Tridendriform	Terplicial
Zinbiel	Assoc
Leibniz	Assoc

The associated set operad is not unique. Indeed, on a given $\mathfrak{S}$-module, there can be different set operad structures, for example 2-as and dipt or duplicial and skew-duplicial. Moreover, duplicial and skew-duplicial are two set operads associated to dendriform. It would be interesting to study the relation between associated set operads.

2.3 PreLie Case. We consider here the PreLie operad and the rooted tree basis introduced by Chapoton and Livernet in [CL01]: the free PreLie algebra on a vector space V is spanned by rooted (non planar) trees with vertices indexed by V. This example was the motivation for the introduction of generalised mixed distributive laws.

We recall that the relation satisfied by a PreLie product $\curvearrowleft$ is given by:

$$(x \curvearrowleft y) \curvearrowleft z - x \curvearrowleft (y \curvearrowleft z) = (x \curvearrowleft z) \curvearrowleft y - x \curvearrowleft (z \curvearrowleft y)$$

Combinatorially, the product $T \curvearrowleft S$ is the sum over all possible ways to add an edge between a vertex of T and the root of S. The root of the obtained tree is the root of T.

The dual coproduct is then given by the sum over all possible ways to delete an edge in the tree:

$$\Delta(T) = \sum_{a \in E(T)} R_a(T) \otimes L_a(T),$$

where $R_a(T)$ is the connected component of $T - \{a\}$ containing the root of T and $L_a(T)$ is the other connected component.

Remark 2.3.1. This coproduct is obtained by taking only connected components in Connes-Kreimer coproduct.

To apply the rigidity theorem to some algebras, we compute the associated mixed distributive law:

Proposition 2.3.2. *The PreLie product and its dual coproduct satisfy the following mixed distributive law:*
(10)
$$\Delta(T \curvearrowleft S) = \#T \times T \otimes S + (T \curvearrowleft S_1) \otimes S_2 + (T_1 \curvearrowleft S) \otimes T_2 + T_1 \otimes (T_2 \curvearrowleft S),$$
where $\Delta(T) = T_1 \otimes T_2$ *and* $\Delta(S) = S_1 \otimes S_2$.



Proof. The decomposition is done following the deleted edge: it can be between S and T, in S, or in T. If it is in T, it can be between the root of T and the root of S or somewhere else. □

It has been pointed to the authors that the sketch of this mixed distributive law can also be found in [MB14].

Proposition 2.3.3. *Applying the algorithm, the idempotent is given by:*
$$e = \mathrm{id} + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_{\substack{T \in HT_n \\ \mathrm{Linext}(S) \cap \mathrm{Linext}(T) \neq \emptyset}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(T)|!} S \circ T^*,$$
where we see a rooted tree T *as the Hasse diagram of a poset* $P(T)$ *with a unique minimal element (the root) and* Linext(T) *is the set of linear extension of the poset* $P(T)$.

Proof. We show that this idempotent vanishes on any non trivial rooted tree by an inclusion-exclusion principle. For any $S \in HT_n$, the coefficient in front of a tree $T \in HT_n$ in $e(S)$, with T having k edges different from the ones in S, is obtained from coproducts deleting these k edges and $p-k$ others by:
$$c \times \sum_{p \geq k} \binom{n-1-k}{p-k} (-1)^p = 0.$$
Hence the result. □

Example 2.3.4 (Box trees)**.** We use the obtained criterion to give a new proof of the freeness of the algebra of partitioned trees introduced in [Foi15]. Partitioned trees are equivalent to box trees introduced in [Oge13b].

Let us consider a quadruple (L, V, R, E), where

- L is a finite set called the set of *labels*,



FIGURE 1. A box tree.

- V is a partition of L called the set of *vertices*,
- R is an element of V called the root,
- E is a map from $V - \{R\}$ to L called the set of *edges*.

We will denote by $\tilde{E}$, the map from $V - \{R\}$ to V which associates to a vertex v the vertex v' containing the label $E(v)$. The pair $\left(V, \tilde{E}\right)$ is then an oriented graph, with vertices labelled by subsets of L.

Definition 2.3.5 ([Foi15],[Oge13b])**.** A quadruple (L, V, R, E) is a *box tree* if and only if the graph $\left(V, \tilde{E}\right)$ is a tree, rooted in R, with edges oriented toward the root.

A label l is called *parent* of a vertex v if $E(v) = l$.

In Figure 1, an example of box trees is presented. The root is the double rectangle.

The product is given by the natural PreLie product on trees.

On this algebra, we define the following coproduct:

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{\substack{e \in E(x), \\ e: a \to b}} \frac{1}{|a|} R(x - \{e\}) \otimes L(x - \{e\}).$$

This coproduct satisfies the previous mixed distributive law. Hence the associated algebra is PreLie free, with primitive given by trees with no edges.

Example 2.3.6 (Hypertrees)**.** The bijection between decorated hypertrees and a pair given by some type of box trees and decorated sets motivated the introduction of the following product on hypertrees introduced by Berge in [Ber89] and studied by the second author in [Oge13a], [Oge13b] and [DO15]:

Definition 2.3.7 ([Ber89])**.** A *hypergraph (on a set V)* is an ordered pair (V, E) where V is a finite set and E is a collection of elements of cardinality at least two, belonging to the power set $\mathcal{P}(V)$. The elements of V are called *vertices* and those of E are called *edges*.

An example of hypergraph is presented in figure 2.

Definition 2.3.8. Let $H = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph.

A *walk from a vertex or an edge d to a vertex or an edge f in H* is an alternating sequence of vertices and edges beginning by d and ending by f



FIGURE 2. An example of hypergraph on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.



FIGURE 3. An example of hypertree on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$(d, \dots, e_i, v_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, f)$ where for all i, $v_i \in V$, $e_i \in E$ and $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \subseteq e_i$. The *length* of a walk is the number of edges and vertices in the walk.

Example 2.3.9. In the previous example, there are several walks from 4 to 2: $(4, A, 7, B, 6, C, 2)$ and $(4, A, 7, B, 6, C, 1, D, 3, D, 2)$. A walk from C to 3 is $(C, 1, D, 3)$.

Definition 2.3.10. A *hypertree* is a non-empty hypergraph H such that, given any distinct vertices v and w in H,

- there exists a walk from v to w in H with distinct edges e_i, i.e. H is *connected*,
- and this walk is unique, i.e. H has *no cycles*.

The pair $H = (V, E)$ is called *hypertree on* V. If V is the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, then H is called an *hypertree on* n *vertices*.

An example of an hypertree is presented in figure 3.

Let us consider a rooted hypertree H, i.e. a hypertree with a distinguished vertex. Given an edge e of H, there is one vertex of e which is the nearest from the root of H in e: let us call it the *petiole* of e.

We define a PreLie product on rooted hypertrees $H \curvearrowleft G$ as the sum of all the ways to graft the root of G on a vertex v of H, where the grafting is given by adding an edge between v and r.

Example 2.3.11. We represent below the product of two rooted hypertrees:



On this algebra, we define the following coproduct:

$$\Delta(T) = \sum_{e \in E(T)} R(T - \{e\}) \otimes L(T - \{e\}).$$

This coproduct satisfies the previous mixed distributive law. Hence the associated algebra is PreLie free, with primitive given by hypertrees with no binary edge, i.e. edge of cardinality two.

Example 2.3.12 (Fat trees)**.** In [Oge13b] and [DO14], the second author also studied the notion of (rooted) fat trees introduced in [Zas02]:

Definition 2.3.13 ([Zas02])**.** A *fat tree on a set* V is a partition of V, whose parts are called *vertices*, together with edges linking elements of different vertices, such that:

- a *walk* on the fat tree is an alternating sequence $(a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, \ldots, c_n)$, where for every i, a_i and c_i are elements of different vertices and b_i is an edge between a_i and c_i, and for every i between 1 and $n-1$, c_i and a_{i+1} are elements of the same vertex;
- For every pair of elements of different vertices (a, c), there exists one and only one walk from a to c.

A *rooted fat tree* is a fat tree with a distinguished element called the *root*.

In Figure 4, an example of a rooted fat tree is presented. The root is circled.

The vector space of rooted fat trees can easily be endowed with a PreLie product given by the tree structure.

On this algebra, we define the following coproduct:

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{\substack{e \in E(x), \\ e : a \in A \to b \in B}} \frac{1}{|A||B|} R(x - \{e\}) \otimes L(x - \{e\}).$$



FIGURE 4. A rooted fat tree.

This coproduct satisfies the previous mixed distributive law. Hence the associated algebra is PreLie free, with primitive given by fat trees with no edges.

2.4 Perm Case. We now apply the rigidity theorem in dual case to Operad Perm, introduced by Chapoton in [Cha01] endowed with the usual vector space basis given by pointed sets.

We recall that the relation satisfied by a Perm product $\times$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}(x \times y) \times z &= x \times (y \times z)\\ &= x \times (z \times y)\end{aligned}$$

Combinatorially, the product $S_1 \times S_2$ is the pointed set obtained by the union of S_1 and S_2 pointed in the pointed element of S_1.

The dual coproduct is then given by the sum over all possible ways to split the set S in two pointed set S_1 and S_2 such that S is the union of S_1 and S_2 and the pointed element of S_1 is the pointed element of S:

$$\Delta(S) = \sum_{\substack{S_1 \cup S_2 = S \\ p(S) = p(S_1)}} S_1 \otimes S_2,$$

where, for any pointed set T, $p(T)$ denotes the pointed element of T.

In this case, the idempotent is given explicitly by the following formula:

$$e = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n!} n^{n-1} D_n,$$

where D_n is defined recursively by:

$$\begin{aligned}D_1 &= id\\ D_{n+1} &= \times \circ (D_n \otimes id) \circ \Delta.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4.1. We use the notation D because the diagram of $D_2 = \times \circ \Delta$ is a diamond.

Proof. We show by induction that $D_k(\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, n\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (n-i)(k+1)^{n-k-1}$. The iterated coproduct satisfies the following relation:

$$\Delta_k(\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, n\}) = \sum_{p=k}^{n-1} (n-p) \binom{n-1}{p-1} \Delta_{k-1}((\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, p\})).$$

Indeed, only terms in the coproduct whose left part has at least k elements remain after k coproducts. To choose such a decomposition, we have $(n-p)\binom{n-1}{p-1}$ choices. □

To apply the rigidity theorem to some algebras, we compute the associated mixed distributive law:

Proposition 2.4.2. *The Perm product and its dual coproduct satisfy the following mixed distributive law, with* $\overline{T} = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k}\delta_{T\in\mathcal{F}_k}$ *and* $\dot{T} = T + \delta_{(\Delta(T))_2\in\mathcal{F}_1}(\Delta(T))_2 \times (\Delta(T))_1$*, for any element* T*, where* δ *is the Kronecker symbol:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(T\times S) =& T\otimes \dot{S} + T_1 \otimes (T_2 \times S + \dot{S}\times \overline{T_2}) + (T_1\times S)\otimes T_2 + (T\times S_1)\otimes S_2\\ &+(T\times \overline{S_2})\otimes \dot{S_1} + (T_1\times S_1)\otimes (T_2\times \overline{S_2} + S_2\times \overline{T_2})\\ &+(T_1\times \overline{S_2})\otimes (T_2\times S_1 + S_1\times \overline{T_2}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(T) = T_1\otimes T_2$ *and* $\Delta(S) = S_1\otimes S_2$.

Proof. The coproduct of the product is obtained by merging T and S, forgetting the pointed element of S and then splitting the pointed set into two pointed sets, the left one containing the pointed element of T. The equality is obtained by considering the different cases:

- if the splitting separates S and T, T is on the left side and S can be pointed in any element,
- if the splitting splits T only, the right part of the coproduct can be pointed in an element of t or any element of S,
- if the splitting splits S only, the pointed element of the left part of the coproduct is fixed and the right part can contain the pointed element of S (which is in S_1) or not and then be pointed in any element.
- if the splitting splits both S and T, the pointed element of S can be on the right or on the left part and the pointed element of the right part can be chosen in T or in S.

□

2.5 NAP Case. We consider here the NAP operad (see [CL01] and [DL02]). Livernet has proven in [Liv06] the existence of a mixed distributive law such that any conilpotent PreLie coNAP bialgebra satisfying the associated mixed distributive law is free and cofree over its primitive elements. We study here NAP coNAP bialgebras.

The NAP product $\cdot$ on a vector space V satisfies the following relation, for all x,y and z in V:

$$(x\cdot y)\cdot z = (x\cdot z)\cdot y.$$

A basis of a free NAP algebra over a vector space is given by the set of rooted trees on n vertices labelled by elements from V, denoted by $RT_n(V)$. The product of two trees T and S in this algebra is then the tree $T\cdot S$ obtained by grafting the root of S to the root of T (see Figure 5).



FIGURE 5. NAP product



FIGURE 6. NAP coproduct

The coproduct $\Delta(T)$ of a tree T in this algebra, given as the dual of the product, is the sum of all possible trees obtained by deleting an edge attached to the root of T. The part containing the root of T is then the left part of the coproduct (see Figure 6).

Proposition 2.5.1. *The NAP product $\cdot$ and its associated dual coproduct satisfy the following mixed distributive law:*

$$\Delta(T \cdot S) = T \otimes S + T_1 \cdot S \otimes T_2,$$

where $\Delta(T) = T_1 \otimes T_2$ (Sweedler's notation).



Proof. $\Delta(T \cdot S)$ is the sum of all possibilities of deleting an edge containing the root of T in the tree obtained by adding an edge e between the root of T and the one of S. The result comes from the following decomposition: either the edge deleted is e or it is an edge of T. □

The previous reasoning gives us the following expression for idempotent, checked in the proof below:

$$e = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{n}{n!} D_n,$$

where D_n is defined recursively by:

$$D_1 = id$$
$$D_{n+1} = \cdot \circ (D_n \otimes id) \circ \Delta.$$

Proof. The operator D_n can be rewritten in terms of rooted trees by

$\circ$

*. The partial sum $e_k = \sum_{n\geq 1}^{k}(-1)^{n-1}\frac{n}{n!}D_n$ vanishes over all rooted trees on at least two vertices, whose root has at most k children. □

Any free PreLie algebra can be endowed with a natural structure of free NAP algebra. Note that it is not the case for non free PreLie algebras: for instance, the PreLie structure on Mag operad cannot be endowed with a NAP product (see [BDOM]).

The examples developed in the subsection 2.3 can then be seen as NAP-algebras when considering grafting to the root only.

Example 2.5.2 (Box trees)**.** Considering Example 2.3.4 endowed with the natural NAP structure associated to the PreLie product, we can define the following coNAP coproduct:

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{\substack{e\in E_r(x),\\ e:r\to b}} \frac{1}{|r|} R(x-\{e\})\otimes L(x-\{e\}),$$

where $E_r(x)$ is the set of edges of x adjacent to the root r of x.

This coproduct satisfies the previous mixed distributive law. Hence the associated algebra is NAP free, with primitive given by trees with no edges.

Example 2.5.3 (Hypertrees)**.** Considering Example 2.3.6, we define a NAP product on rooted hypertrees $H\cdot G$ as the hypertree obtained by grafting the root r_G of G on the root r_H of H, where the grafting is given by adding an edge between r_G and r_H.

On this algebra, we define the following coproduct:

$$\Delta(T) = \sum_{e\in E_r(T)} R(T-\{e\})\otimes L(T-\{e\}),$$

where $E_r(T)$ is the set of edges of T adjacent to the root r of x.

This coproduct satisfies the previous mixed distributive law. Hence the associated algebra is NAP free, with primitive given by hypertrees with no binary edge, i.e. edge of cardinality two.

Example 2.5.4 (Fat trees)**.** Considering Example 2.3.12 endowed with the natural NAP structure associated to the PreLie product, we can define the following coNAP coproduct:

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{\substack{e\in E_r(x),\\ e:r\in R\to b\in B}} \frac{1}{|R||B|} R(x-\{e\})\otimes L(x-\{e\}),$$

where $E_r(x)$ is the set of edges of x adjacent to the root r of x.

This coproduct satisfies the previous mixed distributive law. Hence the associated algebra is NAP free, with primitive given by trees with no edges.

2.6 PAN Case. We consider here the Koszul dual of the NAP operad, denoted by PAN. The PAN product $\prec$ on a vector space V satisfies the following relation, for all x,y and z in V:

$$x \prec (y \prec z) = 0$$
$$(x \prec y) \prec z = (x \prec z) \prec y$$

Proposition 2.6.1. *The operad PAN is the Koszul dual of the operad NAP.*

Proof. We use the methods of V. Dotsenko and E. Hoffbeck (see [Hof10]) to determine the Koszul dual of NAP operad. Let us recall the relation in NAP:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) \cdot y.$$

The orthogonal of this relation is precisely the relations of PAN. □

A basis of a free PAN algebra over a vector space V is given by the set of pointed sets on n elements labelled by elements from V. Denoting in bold the pointed element of the set, the product of two pointed sets $\{\mathbf{x_1}, \dots, x_k\}$ and $\{\mathbf{y_1}, \dots, y_l\}$ in this algebra is then the pointed set $\{\mathbf{x_1}, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l\}$ if $l = 1$, 0 otherwise.

The coproduct $\Delta(u)$ of a pointed set u pointed in x in this algebra, given as the dual of the product, is given by:

$$\Delta(u) = \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{a} \in u \\ \mathrm{a} \neq x}} u - \{\mathrm{a}\} \otimes \{\mathbf{a}\}, \tag{11}$$

where the set $u - \{\mathrm{a}\}$ is pointed in x.

Proposition 2.6.2. *The PAN product $\prec$ and its associated dual coproduct satisfy the following mixed distributive law on any conilpotent PAN-bialgebra $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n$:*

$$\Delta(u \prec v) = \delta_{v \in \mathcal{F}_1} \left(u \otimes v + u_1 \cdot v \otimes u_2 \right), \tag{12}$$

where $\Delta(u) = u_1 \otimes u_2$ (Sweedler's notation) and $\delta_{v \in \mathcal{F}_1}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Proof. If $v \notin \mathcal{F}_1$, $\Delta(u \prec v) = 0$. Otherwise, the coproduct is obtained by either separating u and v or splitting u. □

The previous reasoning gives us the following expression for idempotent, checked in the proof below:

$$e = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{n}{n!} D_n,$$

where D_n is defined recursively by:

$$D_1 = id$$
$$D_{n+1} = \cdot \circ (D_n \otimes id) \circ \Delta.$$

Proof. We show by induction that $D_k(\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, n\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1}(n-i)$. Indeed, the iterated coproduct satisfies the following relation:

$$\Delta_k(\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, n\}) = (n-1)\Delta_{k-1}((\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, n-1\})). \tag{13}$$

The equality then comes from Newton binomial theorem. □

coPAN-Perm bialgebras

Any Perm algebra can be endowed with a structure of coPAN bialgebras by considering the coproduct dual to the natural structure of PAN-algebra.

The following mixed distributive law follows directly from the definitions:

Proposition 2.6.3. *The Perm product $\times$ and the associated dual coPAN-coproduct satisfy the following mixed distributive law on any conilpotent coPAN-Perm-bialgebra $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{F}_n$:*

$$\Delta(u \times v) = \delta_{v\in\mathcal{F}_1} u \otimes v + u_1 \times v \otimes u_2 + u \times v_1 \otimes v_2 + \delta_{v_1\in\mathcal{F}_1} u \times v_2 \otimes v_1, \tag{14}$$

where $\Delta(u) = u_1 \otimes u_2$ and $\Delta(v) = v_1 \otimes v_2$ (Sweedler's notation).

2.7 Associative, Leibniz, Poisson and Zinbiel case. We now consider rigidity theorems obtained from operads which underlying free algebras is the tensor algebra (with different products): Associative, Leibniz, Poisson and Zinbiel. These operads were introduced respectively in [Lod93], [Fre06] and [Lod95].

The relations satisfied by these operads are respectively:

- for the Associative product $\cdot$, $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ (the $\cdot$ will be sometimes omitted)
- for the Leibniz product $[.,.]$, $[[x, y], z] = [x, [y, z]] + [[x, z], y]$,
- for the Poisson products $\times$ and $\{.,.\}$, $\times$ is (associative) commutative, $\{\ ,\ \}$ is a Lie bracket and $\{x \times y, z\} = x \times \{y, z\} + \{x, z\} \times y$
- for the Zinbiel product $\prec$, $(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z) + x \prec (z \prec y)$

Using relations, Poisson can be interpreted as $\mathrm{Comm} \circ \mathrm{Lie}$ (commutative products of Lie brackets of elements). A basis of Poisson operad is then given by the usual Lyndon basis of Lie algebras, with commutative terms sorted by non increasing order. The obtained terms are then naturally bracketed, the Lie brackets being determined by left-to-right minima and being naturally bracketed as Lyndon words (for instance, **4652371** stands for $\{\{4, 6\}, 5\} \times \{2, \{3, 7\}\} \times 1$). We denote these representation in bold to distinguish it from the representation below.

To identify it as associative elements, one has to use the injection of Lie algebras into associative algebras defined by $i : [a, b] \mapsto i(a) \cdot i(b) - i(b) \cdot i(a)$ and the injection of commutative algebras into associative algebras defined by $\iota : a \times b \mapsto \mathrm{sh}(\iota(a), \iota(b))$.

Using the above injections and with implicit $\cdot$ between elements in the right part of the equality, we obtain a basis of the Poisson operad. The elements of small arities are then given by:

- in arity 2, $\mathbf{12} = 1 \times 2 = 12 + 21$ and $\mathbf{21} = [1, 2] = 12 - 21$
- in arity 3,

$$\mathbf{123} = \{1, \{2, 3\}\} = 123 - 132 - 231 + 321$$
$$\mathbf{132} = \{\{1, 3\}, 2\} = 132 - 312 - 213 + 231$$
$$\mathbf{213} = 2 \times \{1, 3\} = 213 - 231 + 132 - 312$$
$$\mathbf{231} = \{2, 3\} \times 1 = 231 - 321 + 123 - 132$$
$$\mathbf{312} = 3 \times \{1, 2\} = 312 - 321 + 123 - 213$$
$$\mathbf{321} = 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 123 + 132 + 213 + 231 + 312 + 321$$

Combinatorially, these products correspond on the tensor algebra to:

- the concatenation for the associative product:

$$(x_1 \dots x_p) \cdot (x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q}) = x_1 \dots x_{p+q}$$

- for the Leibniz product $[.,.]$,

$$[x_1 \dots x_p, y_1, \dots y_q] = \sum_{I \sqcup J = \{2, \dots q\}} (-1)^{|J|} x_1 \dots x_p y_{j_{|J|}} \dots y_{j_1} y_1 y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_{|I|}}$$

  with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{|I|}$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{|J|}$,
- the shuffle product for the Poisson product $\times$ and the commutator of concatenation for the Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}$:

$$\{(x_1 \dots x_p), (x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q})\} = x_1 \dots x_p x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q} - x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q} x_1 \dots x_p$$

  and

$$(x_1 \dots x_p) \times (x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q}) = \mathrm{sh}_{p,q}(x_1 \dots x_p, x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q}).$$

- the halfshuffle for the Zinbiel product:

$$(x_1 \dots x_p) \prec (x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q}) = x_1 \, \mathrm{sh}_{p-1,q}(x_2 \dots x_p, x_{p+1} \dots x_{p+q}),$$

  where $sh_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha+\beta}) = \sum_\pi x_{\pi(1)} \dots x_{\pi(\alpha+\beta)}$, with $\pi^{-1}(1) < \dots < \pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\alpha+1) < \dots < \pi^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)$.

The associated dual coproducts are then given on the tensor algebra by:

- the deconcatenation for the associative product:

$$\Delta_\cdot(x_1 \dots x_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} x_1 \dots x_i \otimes x_{i+1} \dots x_p,$$

- for the Leibniz coproduct $[.,.]$,

$$\Delta_{[.,.]}(x_1 \dots x_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j>i} (-1)^{j-(i+1)} x_1 \dots x_i \otimes x_j \, \mathrm{sh}(x_{j+1} \dots x_p, x_{j-1} \dots x_{i+1}),$$

- the coshuffle coproduct for the Poisson product $\times$ and the commutator of deconcatenation for the Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}$:

$$\Delta_{\{.,.\}}(x_1 \dots x_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} x_1 \dots x_i \otimes x_{i+1} \dots x_p - x_{i+1} \dots x_p \otimes x_1 \dots x_i,$$

and

$$\Delta_{\times}(x_1 \dots x_p) = \sum_{\substack{I \sqcup J = \{1,\dots p\} \\ I,J \neq \emptyset}} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{|I|}} \otimes x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_{|J|}}$$

with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{|I|}$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{|J|}$.

- the cohalfshuffle for the Zinbiel coproduct:

$$\Delta_{\prec}(x_1 \dots x_p) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = \{2,\dots p\}} x_1 x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{|I|}} \otimes x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_{|J|}},$$

with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{|I|}$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{|J|}$.

The mixed distributive laws for the different relations are given by:

- *Associative-Associative case:* n.u.i. mixed distributive law proven in [LR06] (written on Example 2.1.5),
- *Associative-Zinbiel case:* semi-Hopf mixed distributive law proven in[Bur10] (written on Example 2.1.5). Note that the product $* = \prec \circ (id + (12))$ used is exactly the shuffle product $\times$,
- *Associative-Leibniz case:* To express a mixed distributive law, we need some operators expressed in terms of coassociative coproduct and Leibniz product:

$$\mathrm{RV}(x) = \delta_{x \in \mathcal{F}_1} + \delta_{x_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1}[\mathrm{RV}(x_2), x_1],$$

where $\Delta.(x) = x_1 \otimes x_2$ and δ is the Kronecker symbol,

$$x \cdot y = \delta_{y \in \mathcal{F}_1}[x, y] + \delta_{y_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1}[x.y_1, y_2],$$

$$[\epsilon, x_1 \dots x_n] = x_1 \dots x_n + \sum_{I \sqcup J = \{1,\dots,n\}} \mathrm{RV}(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{|I|}}) \cdot x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_J},$$

where $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{|I|}\}$, $i_1 < \dots < i_{|I|}$, $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_{|J|}\}$, $j_1 < \dots, j_{|J|}$ and the sequences are extracted thanks to deconcatenation and concatenation defined just above.

The mixed distributive law is then given combinatorially, according to where the deconcatenation occurs, by:

$$\Delta.([u, v]) = u \otimes [\epsilon, v] + (\Delta.(u))_1 \otimes [(\Delta.(u))_2, v] + u \cdot (\Delta.([\epsilon, v]))_1 \otimes (\Delta.([\epsilon, v]))_2$$

- *Associative-Poisson case:* The mixed distributive law is given combinatorially by:

$$\Delta_{\cdot}(u \times v) = u \otimes v + v \otimes u + (u \times v_1) \otimes v_2 + v_1 \otimes (u \times v_2) + (u_1 \times v) \otimes u_2 + u_1 \otimes (u_2 \times v) + (u_1 \times v_1) \otimes (u_2 \times v_2) \text{ (Hopf)}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\cdot}(\{u, v\}) = u \otimes v - v \otimes u + u_1 \otimes u_2 \cdot v + u \cdot v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_1 \otimes v_2 \cdot u - v \cdot u_1 \otimes u_2,$$

where $\Delta_{\cdot}(u) = u_1 \otimes u_2$ and $\Delta_{\cdot}(v) = v_1 \otimes v_2$.

- *Zinbiel-Leibniz case:* Remark first that the concatenation can be obtained recursively by:

$$u \cdot v = \sum_{k \geq 1} \delta_{v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathcal{F}_1} [[\ldots [[u, v_1], v_2] \ldots], v_k]$$

where $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta_{\prec}) \circ \ldots \circ \Delta_{\prec}(v) = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_k$.

We can then define as previously the operations RV and $[\epsilon, v]$.

The mixed distributive law is thus given combinatorially by:

$$\Delta_{\prec}([u, v]) = u \otimes [\epsilon, v] + (\Delta_{\prec}(u))_1 \otimes [(\Delta_{\prec}(u))_2, v] + [(\Delta_{\prec}(u))_1, v] \otimes (\Delta_{\prec}(u))_2$$

No term can be obtained by splitting v because a term $uv_{l_1} \ldots v_{l_k} \otimes v_{r_1} \ldots v_{r_p}$ can be obtained thanks to $k+1$ different elements of $[u, v]$ (according to how the elements are mixed) and then the coefficient of this term is exactly $\sum_{p=0}^{k} (-1)^p \binom{k}{p} = 0$.

2.8 Dendriform and Tridendriform case. These cases are treated in the article [BDO]. The relations obtained for the bidendriform bialgebra are different from the ones obtained by Foissy in [Foi07].

2.9 2-as and dipt case. We now compute mixed distributive laws for Operads 2-as and Dipt, introduced by J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco in [LR06] and [LR03].

We recall that the relation satisfies by 2-as products $*$ and $\cdot$ are given by:

$$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$$
$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

Combinatorially, the free 2-associative algebra on a vector space V is spanned by words on planar trees with leaves decorated by elements of V. The product $*$ is then the concatenation of trees in the word and $\cdot$ is a grafting on a new root.

Following the dual case for the associative operad, the products and their dual coproducts are linked by the mixed distributive law given in the following array:

	$*$	$\cdot$
Δ_*	n.u.i.	0
$\Delta_{\cdot}$	0	n.u.i

We recall that the relation satisfies by dipterous products $\star$ and $\prec$ are given by:

$$(x \star y) \star z = x \star (y \star z)$$
$$(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \star z)$$

Combinatorially (see [LR06] and [LR03]), the free dipterous algebra on a vector space V is spanned by words on planar trees with leaves decorated by elements of V. The product $\star$ is then the concatenation of trees in the word and $\prec$ is given recursively by:

$$s_1 \dots s_k \prec t_1 \dots t_n = ((((s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_k \vee t_1) \vee t_2) \dots) \vee t_n),$$

where s_i and t_i are trees and $t_1 \vee ... \vee t_n$ is the grafting of all the trees t_i on a new root.

The coproduct is then given by considering the unique leftmost path from the root of the tree to the first node of arity different from two (this path can be trivial if the arity of the root is not two): there is as many term in the coproduct as there are edges in this path and a term is obtained from an edge e by deleting all edges starting from vertices on the path between the root and e and reordering the terms according to the previous recursive equation.

Example 2.9.1. An example of dipterous product and coproduct is presented below:





The products and their dual coproducts are then linked by the following mixed distributive law according to where the edge e comes from:

$$\Delta_\star(u \star v) = u \otimes v + (\Delta_\star(u))_1 \otimes ((\Delta_\star(u))_2 \star v) + (u \star (\Delta_\star(v))_1) \otimes (\Delta_\star(v))_2 \quad \text{(n.u.i.)}$$
$$\Delta_\star(u \prec v) = 0$$
$$\Delta_\succ(u \star v) = 0$$
$$\Delta_\succ(u \prec v) = u \otimes v + (\Delta_\succ(u))_1 \otimes ((\Delta_\succ(u))_1 \star v) + (u \prec (\Delta_\star(v))_1) \otimes (\Delta_\star(v))_2\,,$$

where $\Delta_*(u) = (\Delta_*(u))_1 \otimes (\Delta_*(u))_2$ for any operation $*$ (Sweedler's notation of coproduct).

References

[BCR15] Emily Burgunder, Pierre-Louis Curien, and Maria Ronco. Free algebraic structures on the permutohedra. *J. Algebra*, 2015. 2, 15

[BDO] Emily Burgunder and Bérénice Delcroix-Oger. Rigidity theorems for dendriform and tridendriform algebras. *in preparation*. 32

[BDOM] Emily Burgunder, Bérénice Delcroix-Oger, and Dominique Manchon. An operad is never free as a prelie algebra. *in preparation*. 27

[Bec69] Jon Beck. Distributive laws. In *Sem. on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory (ETH, Zürich, 1966/67)*, pages 119–140. Springer, Berlin, 1969. 1

[Ber89] Claude Berge. *Hypergraphs*, volume 45 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989. Combinatorics of finite sets. 21

[BLL98] François Bergeron, Gilbert Labelle, and Pierre Leroux. *Combinatorial species and tree-like structures*, volume 67 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. Translated from the 1994 French original by Margaret Readdy, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota. 3

[Bor53] Armand Borel. Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 57:115–207, 1953. 1, 17

[BR10] Emily Burgunder and María Ronco. Tridendriform structure on combinatorial Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 324(10):2860–2883, 2010. 5

[Bur73] Élisabeth Burroni. Lois distributives mixtes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 276:A897–A900, 1973. 1

[Bur08] Emily Burgunder. Infinite magmatic bialgebras. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 40(3):309–329, 2008. 17

[Bur10] Emily Burgunder. A symmetric version of Kontsevich graph complex and Leibniz homology. *J. Lie Theory*, 20(1):127–165, 2010. 1, 17, 31

[Cha01] Frédéric Chapoton. Un endofoncteur de la catégorie des opérades. In *Dialgebras and related operads*, volume 1763 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 105–110. Springer, Berlin, 2001. 24

[Che57] Kuo-Tsai Chen. Integration of paths, geometric invariants and a generalized Baker-Hausdorff formula. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 65:163–178, 1957. 13

[CL01] Frédéric Chapoton and Muriel Livernet. Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (8):395–408, 2001. 19, 25

[DL02] Askar Dzhumadil′daev and Clas Löfwall. Trees, free right-symmetric algebras, free Novikov algebras and identities. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 4(2, part 1):165–190, 2002. The Roos Festschrift volume, 1. 25

[DO15] Bérénice Delcroix-Oger. Incidence Hopf algebra of the hypertree posets. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 72:Art. B72d, 22, 2014/15. 21

[DO14] Bérénice Delcroix-Oger. *Hyperarbres et Partitions semi-pointées : Aspects combinatoires, algébriques et homologiques*. PhD thesis, Université Lyon 1, 2014. 23

[FM97] Thomas F. Fox and Martin Markl. Distributive laws, bialgebras, and cohomology. In *Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences (Hartford, CT/Luminy, 1995)*, volume 202 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 167–205. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. 1, 3

[Foi07] Loïc Foissy. Bidendriform bialgebras, trees, and free quasi-symmetric functions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 209(2):439–459, 2007. 1, 9, 18, 32

[Foi15] Loïc Foissy. The Hopf algebra of Fliess operators and its dual pre-Lie algebra. *Comm. Algebra*, 43(10):4528–4552, 2015. 20, 21

[Fre06] Benoit Fresse. Théorie des opérades de Koszul et homologie des algèbres de Poisson. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal*, 13(2):237–312, 2006. 29

[HNT08] Florent Hivert, Jean-Christophe Novelli, and Jean-Yves Thibon. Commutative combinatorial Hopf algebras. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 28(1):65–95, 2008. 5

[Hof10] Eric Hoffbeck. A Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt criterion for Koszul operads. *Manuscripta Math.*, 131(1-2):87–110, 2010. 28

[HV15] Eric Hoffbeck and Christine Vespa. Leibniz homology of Lie algebras as functor homology. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(9):3721–3742, 2015. 16

[Joy86] André Joyal. Foncteurs analytiques et espèces de structures. In *Combinatoire énumérative (Montreal, Que., 1985/Quebec, Que., 1985)*, volume 1234 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 126–159. Springer, Berlin, 1986. 3

[Liv06] Muriel Livernet. A rigidity theorem for pre-Lie algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 207(1):1–18, 2006. 1, 17, 25

[LMW15] Muriel Livernet, Bachuki Mesablishvili, and Robert Wisbauer. Generalised bialgebras and entwined monads and comonads. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(8):3263–3278, 2015. 2

[Lod93] Jean-Louis Loday. Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 39(3-4):269–293, 1993. 29

[Lod95] Jean-Louis Loday. Cup-product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras. *Math. Scand.*, 77(2):189–196, 1995. 29

[Lod08] Jean-Louis Loday. Generalized bialgebras and triples of operads. *Astérisque*, (320):x+116, 2008. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 13, 15, 17

[LR03] Jean-Louis Loday and María Ronco. Algèbres de Hopf colibres. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 337(3):153–158, 2003. 32, 33

[LR06] Jean-Louis Loday and María Ronco. On the structure of cofree Hopf algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 592:123–155, 2006. 1, 17, 18, 31, 32, 33

[LV12] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette. *Algebraic operads*, volume 346 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. 3

[Mar96] Martin Markl. Distributive laws and Koszulness. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 46(2):307–323, 1996. 1

[MB14] Lucas Mason-Brown. Natural structures in differential geometry, 2014. 20

[Mén15] Miguel A. Méndez. *Set operads in combinatorics and computer science*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2015. 18

[NT06] Jean-Christophe Novelli and Jean-Yves Thibon. Construction de trigèbres dendriformes. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 342(6):365–369, 2006. 5

[Oge13a] Bérénice Oger. Action of the symmetric groups on the homology of the hypertree posets. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 38(4):915–945, 2013. 21

[Oge13b] Bérénice Oger. Decorated hypertrees. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(7):1871–1905, 2013. 20, 21, 23

[Pat93] Frédéric Patras. La décomposition en poids des algèbres de Hopf. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 43(4):1067–1087, 1993. 13

[Zas02] Thomas Zaslavsky. Perpendicular dissections of space. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 27(3):303–351, 2002. 23

EB, BDO: Université Paul Sabatier, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9 France
E-mail address: burgunder@math.univ-toulouse.fr
E-mail address: berenice.delcroix@math.univ-toulouse.fr